

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/834,728	ROIFMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626	

All Participants:

- (1) EBENEZER SACKEY.
 (2) David P. Halstead.

Status of Application: _____

- (3) Joseph McKane.
 (4) Robert Ramsuer.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2003

Time: 10.00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 1-12, 16-26, 31 and 44-58

Claims discussed:

1, 31, 48 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record, i.e., EP 335641 B1, EP 235198 and U.S. Patent number 4,950,467.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants attorney, David Halstead, will present arguments to rebut the rejection of record and also put limitations on the claims to obviate the rejection of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)